



Bibliografía

Referencias

1. Delgado CL, Rosegrant MW, Steinfeld H, Ehui SK, Courbois C, International Food Policy Research Institute, FAO, International Livestock Research Institute (editors). Livestock to 2020: The Next Food Revolution. Washington, DC (1999).
2. Beauchemin KA, Ungerfeld EM, Eckard RJ, Wang M. Review: fifty years of research on rumen methanogenesis: lessons learned and future challenges for mitigation. *Animal*. (2020) 14:s2-16. doi: 10.1017/S1751731119003100
3. IPCC ed. Introduction. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge;New York, NY: Cambridge University Press (2013).
4. Ali AIM, Wassie SE, Korir D, Goopy JP, Merbold L, Butterbach-Bahl K, et al. Digesta passage and nutrient digestibility in Boran steers at low feed intake levels. *J Animal Physiol Animal Nutr*. (2019) 103:1325-37. doi: 10.1111/jpn.13158
5. Goopy JP, Korir D, Pelster D, Ali AIM, Wassie SE, Schlecht E, et al. Severe below-maintenance feed intake increases methane yield from enteric fermentation in cattle. *Br J Nutr*. (2020) 123:1-8. doi: 10.1017/S0007114519003350
6. Firkins JL, Yu Z. Ruminant nutrition symposium: how to use data on the rumen microbiome to improve our understanding of ruminant nutrition. *J Animal Sci*. (2015) 93:1450-70. doi: 10.2527/jas.2014-8754
7. Cammack KM, Austin KJ, Lamberson WR, Conant GC, Cunningham HC. Tiny but mighty: the role of the rumen microbes in livestock production. *J Anim Sci*. (2018) 96:752-70. doi: 10.1093/jas/skx053
8. Vasta V, Daghighi M, Cappucci A, Buccioni A, Serra A, Viti C, et al. Invited review: plant polyphenols and rumen microbiota responsible for fatty acid biohydrogenation, fiber digestion, and methane emission: experimental evidence and methodological approaches. *J Dairy Sci*. (2019) 102:3781-804. doi: 10.3168/jds.2018-14985
9. Aboling S, Drotleff AM, Cappai MG, Kamphues J. Contamination with ergot bodies (*Claviceps purpurea* sensu lato) of two horse pastures in Northern Germany. *Mycotoxin Res*. (2016) 32:207-19. doi: 10.1007/s12550-016-0253-y





10. Ørskov ER, Flatt WP, Moe PW. Fermentation balance approach to estimate extent of fermentation and efficiency of volatile fatty acid formation in ruminants. *J Dairy Sci.* (1968) 51:1429–35. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(68)87208-X
11. Huws SA, Creevey CJ, Oyama LB, Mizrahi I, Denman SE, Popova M, et al. Addressing global ruminant agricultural challenges through understanding the rumen microbiome: past, present, and future. *Front Microbiol.* (2018) 9:2161. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02161
12. O'Hara E, Neves ALA, Song Y, Guan LL. The role of the gut microbiome in cattle production and health: driver or passenger? *Ann Rev Anim Biosci.* (2020) 8:199–220. doi: 10.1146/annurev-animal-021419-083952
13. Chen J, Harstad OM, McAllister T, Dörsch P, Holo H. Propionic acid bacteria enhance ruminal feed degradation and reduce methane production in vitro. *Acta Agri Scand.* (2020) 69:1–7. doi: 10.1080/09064702.2020.1737215
14. Kittelmann S, Pinares-Patiño CS, Seedorf H, Kirk MR, Ganesh S, McEwan JC, et al. Two different bacterial community types are linked with the low-methane emission trait in sheep. *PLoS ONE.* (2014) 9:e103171. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0103171
15. Lan W, Yang C. Ruminal methane production: associated microorganisms and the potential of applying hydrogen-utilizing bacteria for mitigation. *Sci Total Environ.* (2019) 654:1270–83. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.11.180
16. Delgado B, Bach A, Guasch I, González C, Elcoso G, Pryce JE, et al. Whole rumen metagenome sequencing allows classifying and predicting feed efficiency and intake levels in cattle. *Sci Rep.* (2019) 9:11. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-36673-w
17. Cunha CS, Marcondes MI, Veloso CM, Mantovani HC, Pereira LGR, Tomich TR, et al. Compositional and structural dynamics of the ruminal microbiota in dairy heifers and its relationship to methane production. *J Sci Food Agr.* (2019) 99:210–8. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.9162
18. Global Rumen Census Collaborators, Henderson G, Cox F, Ganesh S, Jonker A, Young W, et al. Rumen microbial community composition varies with diet and host, but a core microbiome is found across a wide geographical range. *Sci Rep.* (2015) 5:14567. doi: 10.1038/srep14567
19. Topps JH. Potential, composition and use of legume shrubs and trees as fodders for livestock in the tropics. *J Agr Sci.* (1992) 118:1–8. doi: 10.1017/S0021859600067940
20. Ku Vera JC, Ramírez Avilés, Jiménez-Ferrer, Alayon-Gamboa Ramírez-Cancino. Árboles y arbustos para la producción animal en el trópico mexicano. In: *Agroforestería Para la Producción Animal en América Latina*. Rome: FAO Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2019). p. 231–57.



21. Vandermeulen S, Ramírez-Restrepo CA, Beckers Y, Claessens H, Bindelle J. Agroforestry for ruminants: a review of trees and shrubs as fodder in silvopastoral temperate and tropical production systems. *Anim Product Sci.* (2018) 58:767. doi: 10.1071/AN16434
22. Shelton M, Dalzell S. Production, economic and environmental benefits of leucaena pastures. *Trop Grasslands.* (2007) 41:174–90.
23. Makkar HPS, Francis G, Becker K. Bioactivity of phytochemicals in some lesser-known plants and their effects and potential applications in livestock and aquaculture production systems. *Animal.* (2007) 1:1371–91. doi: 10.1017/S1751731107000298
24. Benchaar C, Calsamiglia S, Chaves AV, Fraser GR, Colombatto D, McAllister TA, et al. A review of plant-derived essential oils in ruminant nutrition and production. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* (2008) 145:209–28. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2007.04.014
25. Patra A, Saxena J. The effect and mode of action of saponins on the microbial populations and fermentation in the rumen and ruminant production. *Nut Res Rev.* (2009) 22:204–19. doi: 10.1017/S0954422409990163
26. Patra A, Park T, Yu Z. Rumen methanogens and mitigation of methane emission by anti-methanogenic compounds and substances. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol.* (2017) 8:13. doi: 10.1186/s40104-017-0145-9
27. Newbold CJ, El Hassan SM, Wang J, Ortega ME, Wallace RJ. Influence of foliage from African multipurpose trees on activity of rumen protozoa and bacteria. *Br J Nut.* (1997) 78:237–49. doi: 10.1079/BJN19970143
28. Kamra DN, Singh B. Rumen microbiome Plant Secondary Metabolites (PSM): inhibition of methanogenesis improving nutrient utilization. In: Satyanarayana T, Deshmukh SK, Deshpande MV, editors. *Advancing Frontiers in Mycology & Mycotechnology.* Singapore: Springer Singapore (2019). p. 325–45. doi: 10.1007/978-981-13-9349-5_13
29. Kamra DN. Rumen microbial ecosystem. *Curr Sci.* (2005) 81:124–35.
30. Liu Y, Whitman WB. Metabolic, phylogenetic, and ecological diversity of the methanogenic archaea. *Ann NY Acad Sci.* (2008) 1125:171–89. doi: 10.1196/annals.1419.019
31. Van Soest PJ. *Nutritional Ecology of the Ruminant.* Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press (1994).
32. Malik PK, Kolte AP, Baruah L, Saravanan M, Bakshi B, Bhatta R. Enteric methane mitigation in sheep through leaves of selected tanniniferous tropical tree species. *Livestock Sci.* (2017) 200:29–34. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2017.04.001
33. Schäfer G, Engelhard M, Müller V. Bioenergetics of the Archaea. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev.* (1999) 63:570–620. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.63.3.570-620.1999



34. Czerkawski JW. An Introduction to Rumen Studies. New York, NY: Pergamon Press. (1986). doi: 10.1016/B978-0-08-025486-9.50007-4
35. Warner D, Bannink A, Hatew B, van Laar H, Dijkstra J. Effects of grass silage quality and level of feed intake on enteric methane production in lactating dairy cows1. J Anim Sci. (2017) 95:3687–99. doi: 10.2527/jas.2017.1459
36. IPCC. 2006 IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories. In: Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K, editors. Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. IGES (2006).
37. van Lingen HJ, Plugge CM, Fadel JG, Kebreab E, Bannink A, Dijkstra J. Thermodynamic driving force of hydrogen on rumen microbial metabolism: a theoretical investigation. PLoS ONE. (2016) 11:e0161362. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0161362
38. Cantalapiedra-Hijar G, Abo-Ismael M, Carstens GE, Guan LL, Hegarty R, Kenny DA, et al. Review: Biological determinants of between-animal variation in feed efficiency of growing beef cattle. Animal. (2018) 12:s321–35. doi: 10.1017/S1751731118001489
39. Sarwar Gilani G, Wu Xiao C, Cockell KA. Impact of antinutritional factors in food proteins on the digestibility of protein and the bioavailability of amino acids and on protein quality. Br J Nut. (2012) 108:S315–32. doi: 10.1017/S0007114512002371
40. Naumann HD, Tedeschi LO, Zeller WE, Huntley NF. The role of condensed tannins in ruminant animal production: advances, limitations and future directions. Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia. (2017) 46:929–49. doi: 10.1590/s1806-92902017001200009
41. Olagaray KE, Bradford BJ. Plant flavonoids to improve productivity of ruminants – A review. Anim Feed Sci Technol. (2019) 251:21–36. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2019.02.004
42. Cobellis G, Tralbalza-Marinucci M, Yu Z. Critical evaluation of essential oils as rumen modifiers in ruminant nutrition: A review. Sci Total Environ. (2016) 545–546:556–8. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.12.103
43. Xie Y, Yang W, Tang F, Chen X, Ren L. Antibacterial activities of flavonoids: structure-activity relationship and mechanism. Curr Med Chem. (2014) 22:132–49. doi: 10.2174/0929867321666140916113443
44. Montoya-Flores MD, Molina-Botero IC, Arango J, Romano-Muñoz JL, Solorio-Sánchez FJ, Aguilar-Pérez CF, et al. Effect of dried leaves of *Leucaena leucocephala* on rumen fermentation, rumen microbial population, and enteric methane production in crossbred heifers. Animals. (2020) 10:300. doi: 10.3390/ani10020300



45. Valencia Salazar SS, Piñeiro Vázquez AT, Molina Botero IC, Lazos Balbuena FJ, Uuh Narváez JJ, Segura Campos MR, et al. Potential of Samanea saman pod meal for enteric methane mitigation in crossbred heifers fed low-quality tropical grass. *Agri Forest Meteorol.* (2018) 258:108–16. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.12.262
46. Molina-Botero IC, Montoya-Flores MD, Zavala-Escalante LM, Barahona-Rosales R, Arango J, Ku-Vera JC. Effects of long-term diet supplementation with *Gliricidia sepium* foliage mixed with *Enterolobium cyclocarpum* pods on enteric methane, apparent digestibility, and rumen microbial population in crossbred heifers. *J Anim Sci.* (2019) 97:1619–33. doi: 10.1093/jas/skz067
47. Stoldt A-K, Derno M, Das G, Weitzel JM, Wolfram S, Metges CC. Effects of rutin and buckwheat seeds on energy metabolism and methane production in dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci.* (2016) 99:2161–8. doi: 10.3168/jds.2015-10143
48. Molina IC, Angarita EA, Mayorga OL, Chará J, Barahona-Rosales R. Effect of *Leucaena leucocephala* on methane production of *Lucerna* heifers fed a diet based on *Cynodon plectostachyus*. *Livestock Sci.* (2016) 185:24–9. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2016.01.009
49. Patra A, Kamra DN, Bhar R, Kumar R, Agarwal N. Effect of *Terminalia chebula* and *Allium sativum* on in vivo methane emission by sheep: methane inhibition in sheep by plant feed additives. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr.* (2011) 95:187–91. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0396.2010.01039.x
50. Abdalla AL, Louvandini H, Sallam SMAH, Bueno IC da S, Tsai SM, Figueira AV de O. In vitro evaluation, in vivo quantification, and microbial diversity studies of nutritional strategies for reducing enteric methane production. *Trop Anim Health Product.* (2012) 44:953–64. doi: 10.1007/s11250-011-9992-0
51. Wanapat M, Chanthakhoun V, Phesatcha K, Kang S. Influence of mangosteen peel powder as a source of plant secondary compounds on rumen microorganisms, volatile fatty acids, methane and microbial protein synthesis in swamp buffaloes. *Livestock Sci.* (2014) 162:126–33. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2014.01.025
52. Anantasook N, Wanapat M, Cherdthong A. Manipulation of ruminal fermentation and methane production by supplementation of rain tree pod meal containing tannins and saponins in growing dairy steers. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr.* (2014) 98:50–5. doi: 10.1111/jpn.12029
53. Seradj AR, Abecia L, Crespo J, Villalba D, Fondevila M, Balcells J. The effect of Bioflavex® and its pure flavonoid components on in vitro fermentation parameters and methane production in rumen fluid from steers given high concentrate diets. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* (2014) 197:85–91. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2014.08.013
54. Abarghuei MJ, Rouzbehan Y, Salem AZM, Zamiri MJ. Nutrient digestion, ruminal fermentation and performance of dairy cows fed pomegranate peel extract. *Livestock Sci.* (2013) 157:452–61. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2013.09.007



55. Susanne S, Carmen K, Annette L, Ueli B, Svenja M, Carla RS, et al. In vitro bioactivity of various pure flavonoids in ruminal fermentation, with special reference to methane formation. *Czech J Anim Sci.* (2018) 63:293–304. doi: 10.17221/118/2017-CJAS
56. Oskoueian E, Abdullah N, Oskoueian A. Effects of flavonoids on rumen fermentation activity, methane production, and microbial population. *BioMed ResInt.* (2013) 2013:1–8. doi: 10.1155/2013/349129
57. Kim ET, Guan LL, Lee SJ, Lee SM, Lee SS, Lee ID, et al. Effects of flavonoid-rich plant extracts on in vitro ruminal methanogenesis, microbial populations and fermentation characteristics. *Asian-Australasian J Anim Sci.* (2015) 28:530–7. doi: 10.5713/ajas.14.0692
58. Al-Sagheer AA, Elwakeel EA, Ahmed MG, Sallam SMA. Potential of guava leaves for mitigating methane emissions and modulating ruminal fermentation characteristics and nutrient degradability. *Environ Sci Pollut Res.* (2018) 25:31450–58. doi: 10.1007/s11356-018-3152-2
59. Cherdthong A, Khonkhaeng B, Foiklang S, Wanapat M, Gunun N, Gunun P, et al. Effects of supplementation of piper sarmentosum leaf powder on feed efficiency, rumen ecology and rumen protozoal concentration in thai native beef cattle. *Animals.* (2019) 9:130. doi: 10.3390/ani9040130
60. Ramos-Morales E, Rossi G, Cattin M, Jones E, Braganca R, Newbold CJ. The effect of an isoflavonoid-rich liquorice extract on fermentation, methanogenesis and the microbiome in the rumen simulation technique. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* (2018) 94: fyy009. doi: 10.1093/femsec/fyy009
61. Jafari S, Goh YM, Rajion MA, Jahromi MF, Ahmad YH, Ebrahimi M. Papaya Carica papaya leaf methanolic extract modulates in vitro rumen methanogenesis and rumen biohydrogenation: effect of papaya leaf extract on rumen. *Anim Sci J.* (2017) 88:267–76. doi: 10.1111/asj.12634
62. Patra A, Yu Z. Effects of essential oils on methane production and fermentation by, and abundance and diversity of, rumen microbial populations. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* (2012) 78:4271–80. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00309-12
63. Giannenas I, Skoufos J, Giannakopoulos C, Wiemann M, Gortzi O, Lalas S, et al. Effects of essential oils on milk production, milk composition, and rumen microbiota in Chios dairy ewes. *J Dairy Sci.* (2011) 94:5569–77. doi: 10.3168/jds.2010-4096
64. Zhou R, Wu J, Lang X, Liu L, Casper DP, Wang C, et al. Effects of oregano essential oil on in vitro ruminal fermentation, methane production, and ruminal microbial community. *J Dairy Sci.* (2020) 103:2303–14. doi: 10.3168/jds.2019-16611
65. Khorrami B, Vakili AR, Mesgaran MD, Klevenhusen F. Thyme and cinnamon essential oils: Potential alternatives for monensin as a rumen modifier in beef production systems. *Animal Feed Science and Technology.* (2015) 200:8–16. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2014.11.009



66. Goel G, Makkar HPS. Methane mitigation from ruminants using tannins and saponins. *Trop Anim Health Product.* (2012) 44:729–39. doi: 10.1007/s11250-011-9966-2
67. Ferreira D, Brandt EV, Coetzee J, Malan E. Condensed tannins. *Prog Chem Org Nat Prod.* (1999) 77:22–59. doi: 10.1007/978-3-7091-6366-5_2
68. Tavendale MH, Meagher LP, Pacheco D, Walker N, Attwood GT, Sivakumaran S. Methane production from in vitro rumen incubations with *Lotus pedunculatus* and *Medicago sativa*, and effects of extractable condensed tannin fractions on methanogenesis. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* (2005) 123–124:403–19. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2005.04.037
69. Mueller-Harvey I. Unravelling the conundrum of tannins in animal nutrition and health. *J Sci Food Agric.* (2006) 86:2010–37. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.2577
70. Ramírez-Avilés L, Solorio-Sánchez FJ, Aguilar-Pérez CF, Ayala-Burgos AJ, Ku-Vera JC. *Leucaena leucocephala* feeding systems for cattle production in Mexico. *Trop Grasslands-Forrajeros Trop.* (2019) 7:375–80. doi: 10.17138/tgft(7)375-380
71. Harrison MT, McSweeney C, Tomkins NW, Eckard RJ. Improving greenhouse gas emissions intensities of subtropical and tropical beef farming systems using *Leucaena leucocephala*. *Agr Syst.* (2015) 136:138–46. doi: 10.1016/j.agsy.2015.03.003
72. Saminathan M, Tan H, Sieo C, Abdullah N, Wong C, Abdulmalek E, et al. Polymerization degrees, molecular weights and protein-binding affinities of condensed tannin fractions from a *Leucaena leucocephala* hybrid. *Molecules.* (2014) 19:7990–8010. doi: 10.3390/molecules19067990
73. Suybeng B, Charmley E, Gardiner CP, Malau-Aduli BS, Malau-Aduli AEO. Methane emissions and the use of desmanthus in beef cattle production in Northern Australia. *Animals.* (2019) 9:542. doi: 10.3390/ani9080542
74. Pal K, Patra A, Sahoo A, Kumawat PK. Evaluation of several tropical tree leaves for methane production potential, degradability and rumen fermentation in vitro. *Livestock Sci.* (2015) 180:98–105. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2015.07.011
75. Manasri N, Wanapat M, Navanukraw C. Improving rumen fermentation and feed digestibility in cattle by mangosteen peel and garlic pellet supplementation. *Livestock Sci.* (2012) 148:291–5. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2012.06.009
76. Rira M, Morgavi DP, Archimède H, Marie-Magdeleine C, Popova M, Boussebouda H, et al. Potential of tannin-rich plants for modulating ruminal microbes and ruminal fermentation in sheep. *J Anim Sci.* (2015) 93:334–47. doi: 10.2527/jas.2014-7961



77. Kalinowska M, Zimowski J, Paczkowski C, Wojciechowski ZA. The formation of sugar chains in triterpenoid saponins and glycoalkaloids. *Phytochem Rev.* (2005) 4:237–57. doi: 10.1007/s11101-005-1422-3
78. Makkar HPS, Blümmel M, Becker K. In vitro effects of and interactions between tannins and saponins and fate of tannins in the rumen. *J Sci Food Agri.* (1995) 69:481–93. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.2740690413
79. Wallace R, McEwan NR, McIntosh FM, Teferedegne B, Newbold CJ. Natural products as manipulators of rumen fermentation. *Asian-Australasian J Anim Sci.* (2002) 15:1458–68. doi: 10.5713/ajas.2002.1458
80. Chen RJ, Chung T, Li F, Lin N, Tzen JT. Effect of sugar positions in ginsenosides and their inhibitory potency on Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity. *Acta Pharmacol Sinica.* (2009) 30:61–9. doi: 10.1038/aps.2008.6
81. Ramos-Morales E, Arco-Pérez A, Martín-García AI, Yáñez-Ruiz DR, Frutos P, Hervás G. Use of stomach tubing as an alternative to rumen cannulation to study ruminal fermentation and microbiota in sheep and goats. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* (2014) 198:57–66. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2014.09.016
82. Wina E, Muetzel S, Becker K. The dynamics of major fibrolytic microbes and enzyme activity in the rumen in response to short- and long-term feeding of *Sapindus rarak* saponins. *J Appl Microbiol.* (2006) 100:114–22. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2005.02746.x
83. Ojeda A, Barroso JA, Obispo N, Gil JL, Cegarra R. Composición química, producción de gas in vitro y astringencia en el follaje de *Samanea saman* (Jacq.) Merrill. *Pastos y Forrajes.* (2012) 35:205–18.
84. Jiménez-Hernández J, Meneses-Esparza F, Rosendo-Escobar J, Vivar-Vera MA, Bello-Pérez LA, García-Suárez FJ. Extracción y caracterización del almidón de las semillas de *Enterolobium cyclocarpum* extraction and characterization of starch from *Enterolobium cyclocarpum* seeds. *CyTA - J Food.* (2011) 9:89–95. doi: 10.1080/19476331003743626
85. Moscoso C, Vélez M, Flores A, Agudelo N. Effects of guanacaste tree (*Enterolobium cyclocarpum* Jacq. Griseb.) fruit as replacement for sorghum grain and cotton-seed meal in lamb diets. *Small Ruminant Res.* (1995) 18:121–4. doi: 10.1016/0921-4488(95)00677-D
86. Briceño-Poot EG, Ruiz-González A, Chay-Canul AJ, Ayala-Burgos AJ, Aguilar-Pérez CF, Solorio-Sánchez FJ, et al. Voluntary intake, apparent digestibility and prediction of methane production by rumen stoichiometry in sheep fed pods of tropical legumes. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* (2012) 176:117–22. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2012.07.014



87. Ivan M, Koenig KM, Teferedegne B, Newbold CJ, Entz T, Rode LM, et al. Effects of the dietary *Enterolobium cyclocarpum* foliage on the population dynamics of rumen ciliate protozoa in sheep. *Small Ruminant Res.* (2004) 52:81–91. doi: 10.1016/S0921-4488(03)00230-X
88. Koenig KM, Ivan M, Teferedegne BT, Morgavi DP, Rode LM, Ibrahim IM, et al. Effect of dietary *Enterolobium cyclocarpum* on microbial protein flow and nutrient digestibility in sheep maintained fauna-free, with total mixed fauna or with *Entodinium caudatum* monofauna. *Br J Nutr.* (2007) 98:504–16. doi: 10.1017/S0007114507723930
89. Hess HD, Kreuzer M, Diaz TE, Lascano CE, Carulla JE, Soliva CR, et al. Saponin rich tropical fruits affect fermentation and methanogenesis in faunated and defaunated rumen fluid. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* (2003) 109:79–94. doi: 10.1016/S0377-8401(03)00212-8
90. Soliva CR, Zeleke AB, Clément C, Hess HD, Fievez V, Kreuzer M. In vitro screening of various tropical foliages, seeds, fruits and medicinal plants for low methane and high ammonia generating potentials in the rumen. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* (2008) 147:53–71. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2007.09.009
91. Hart KJ, Yáñez-Ruiz DR, Duval SM, McEwan NR, Newbold CJ. Plant extracts to manipulate rumen fermentation. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* (2008) 147:8–35. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2007.09.007
92. Oni AO, Onwuka CFI, Oduguwa OO, Onifade OS, Arigbede OM. Utilization of citrus pulp based diets and *Enterolobium cyclocarpum* (JACQ. GRISEB) foliage by West African dwarf goats. *Livestock Sci.* (2008) 117:184–91. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2007.12.010
93. Albores-Moreno S, Alayón-Gamboa JA, Ayala-Burgos AJ, Solorio-Sánchez FJ, Aguilar-Pérez CF, Olivera-Castillo L, et al. Effects of feeding ground pods of *Enterolobium cyclocarpum* Jacq. Griseb on dry matter intake, rumen fermentation, and enteric methane production by Pelibuey sheep fed tropical grass. *Trop Anim Health Product.* (2017) 49:857–66. doi: 10.1007/s11250-017-1275-y
94. Ugboogu EA, Elghandour MMY, Ikpeazu VO, Buendía GR, Molina OM, Arunsi UO, et al. The potential impacts of dietary plant natural products on the sustainable mitigation of methane emission from livestock farming. *J Clean Product.* (2019) 213:915–25. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.12.233
95. Szumacher-Strabel M, Cieślak A, Nowakowska A. Effect of oils rich in linoleic acid on in vitro rumen fermentation parameters of sheep, goats and dairy cows. *J Anim Feed Sci.* (2009) 18:440–52. doi: 10.22358/jafs/66419/2009
96. Dorman HJD, Deans SG. Antimicrobial agents from plants: antibacterial activity of plant volatile oils. *J Appl Microbiol.* (2000) 88:308–16. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2672.2000.00969.x
97. Jouany J-P, Morgavi DP. Use of ‘natural’ products as alternatives to antibiotic feed additives in ruminant production. *Animal.* (2007) 1:1443–66. doi: 10.1017/S1751731107000742



98. Szumacher-Strabel M, Cieślak A. Potential of phytofactors to mitigate rumen ammonia and methane production. *J Anim Feed Sci.* (2010) 19:319–37. doi: 10.22358/jafs/66296/2010
99. Patra A (editor). *Dietary Phytochemicals and Microbes.* Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands (2012). doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-3926-0
100. Mohammed N, Ajisaka N, Lila ZA, Hara K, Mikuni K, Hara K, et al. Effect of Japanese horseradish oil on methane production and ruminal fermentation in vitro and in steers¹. *J Anim Sci.* (2004) 82:1839–46. doi: 10.2527/2004.8261839x
101. Benchaar C. Feeding oregano oil and its main component carvacrol does not affect ruminal fermentation, nutrient utilization, methane emissions, milk production, or milk fatty acid composition of dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci.* (2020) 103:1516–27. doi: 10.3168/jds.2019-17230
102. Belanche A, Newbold CJ, Morgavi DP, Bach A, Zweifel B, Yáñez-Ruiz DR. A meta-analysis describing the effects of the essential oils blend agolin ruminant on performance, rumen fermentation and methane emissions in dairy cows. *Animals.* (2020) 10:620. doi: 10.3390/ani10040620
103. Castro-Montoya J, Peiren N, Cone JW, Zweifel B, Fievez V, De Campeneere S. In vivo and in vitro effects of a blend of essential oils on rumen methane mitigation. *Livestock Sci.* (2015) 180:134–42. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2015.08.010
104. Wu P, Liu ZB, He WF, Yu SB, Gao G, Wang JK. Intermittent feeding of citrus essential oils as a potential strategy to decrease methane production by reducing microbial adaptation. *J Clean Product.* (2018) 194:704–13. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.05.167
105. Wang B, Jia M, Fang L, Jiang L, Li Y. Effects of eucalyptus oil and anise oil supplementation on rumen fermentation characteristics, methane emission, and digestibility in sheep. *J Anim Sci.* (2018) 96:3460–70. doi: 10.1093/jas/sky216
106. Bampidis VA, Robinson PH. Citrus by-products as ruminant feeds: a review. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* (2006) 128:175–217. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2005.12.002
107. Faustino-Lázaro B, González-Reyna A, Bernal-Barragán H, Gómez-Hernández L, Ibarra-Hinojosa M, Martínez-González J. Comportamiento productivo de corderas de pelo, alimentadas con pulpa fresca de limón como fuente energética. *Rev MVZ Córdoba.* (2016) 21:5480–9. doi: 10.21897/rmvz.822
108. Klevenhusen F, Zeitz JO, Duval S, Kreuzer M, Soliva CR. Garlic oil and its principal component diallyl disulfide fail to mitigate methane, but improve digestibility in sheep. *Anim Feed Sci Technol.* (2011) 166–167:356–63. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2011.04.071
109. Harborne JB, Williams CA. Advances in flavonoid research since 1992. *Phytochemistry.* (2000) 55:481–504. doi: 10.1016/S0031-9422(00)00235-1



110. Nijveldt RJ, van Nood E, van Hoorn DE, Boelens PG, van Norren K, van Leeuwen PA. Flavonoids: a review of probable mechanisms of action and potential applications. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2001) 74:418–25. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/74.4.418
111. Cushnie TPT, Lamb AJ. Recent advances in understanding the antibacterial properties of flavonoids. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* (2011) 38:99–107. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2011.02.014
112. Halbwirth H. The creation and physiological relevance of divergent hydroxylation patterns in the flavonoid pathway. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2010) 11:595–621. doi: 10.3390/ijms11020595
113. Cui K, Guo XD, Tu Y, Zhang NF, Ma T, Diao QY. Effect of dietary supplementation of rutin on lactation performance, ruminal fermentation and metabolism in dairy cows. *J Animal Physiol Anim Nutr.* (2015) 99:1065–73. doi: 10.1111/jpn.12334
114. Zhan J, Liu M, Su X, Zhan K, Zhang C, Zhao G. Effects of alfalfa flavonoids on the production performance, immune system, and ruminal fermentation of dairy cows. *Asian-Australasian J Anim Sci.* (2017) 30:1416–24. doi: 10.5713/ajas.16.0579
115. McDermott JJ, Staal SJ, Freeman HA, Herrero M, Van de Steeg JA. Sustaining intensification of smallholder livestock systems in the tropics. *Livestock Sci.* (2010) 130:95–109. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2010.02.014
116. Thornton PK. Livestock production: recent trends, future prospects. *Philos Trans R Soc B: Biol Sci.* (2010) 365:2853–67. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2010.0134
117. Herrero M, Grace D, Njuki J, Johnson N, Enahoro D, Silvestri S, et al. The roles of livestock in developing countries. *Animal.* (2013) 7:3–18. doi: 10.1017/S1751731112001954
118. Dangal SRS, Tian H, Zhang B, Pan S, Lu C, Yang J. Methane emission from global livestock sector during 1890–2014: Magnitude, trends and spatiotemporal patterns. *Global Change Biol.* (2017) 23:4147–61. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13709
119. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Developing Sustainable Value Chains for Small-Scale Livestock Producers. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2019).
120. World Economic Forum. Options for the Livestock Sector in Developing and Emerging Economies to 2030 and Beyond. Geneva: Switzerland (2019).
121. Leal Filho W, Morgan EA, Godoy ES, Azeiteiro UM, Bacelar-Nicolau P, Veiga Ávila L, et al. Implementing climate change research at universities: barriers, potential and actions. *J Clean Product.* (2018) 170:269–77. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.09.105

- 122. Herrero M, Henderson B, Havlík P, Thornton PK, Conant RT, Smith P, et al. Greenhouse gas mitigation potentials in the livestock sector. *Nat Climate Change*. (2016) 6:452–61. doi: 10.1038/nclimate2925
- 123. Kumari S, Fagodiya RK, Hiloidhari M, Dahiya RP, Kumar A. Methane production and estimation from livestock husbandry: a mechanistic understanding and emerging mitigation options. *Sci Total Environ*. (2020) 709:136135. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.136135
- 124. Salmon GR, MacLeod M, Claxton JR, Pica Ciamarra U, Robinson T, Duncan A, et al. Exploring the landscape of livestock 'Facts.' *Global Food Security*. (2020) 25:100329. doi: 10.1016/j.gfs.2019.100329
- 125. Key N, Tallard G. Mitigating methane emissions from livestock: a global analysis of sectoral policies. *Climatic Change*. (2012) 112:387–414. doi: 10.1007/s10584-011-0206-6
- 126. Ndung'u PW, Bebe BO, Ondiek JO, Butterbach-Bahl K, Merbold L, Goopy JP. Improved region-specific emission factors for enteric methane emissions from cattle in smallholder mixed crop: livestock systems of Nandi County, Kenya. *Anim Product Sci*. (2019) 59:1136. doi: 10.1071/AN17809

